



ABOUT US

CONSERVATION & COMMITMENT

From conservation of endangered species to restoring our wetlands, it is our appreciation for the future that keeps us committed and inspires us as environmental stewards.



CELEBRATING



Launched in May 2006

Offices in Tuscaloosa, Auburn, Denver and Sacramento

19 Permitted Banks in Alabama, California, Florida, and Mississippi

Additional Projects Underway

Yellowleaf Mitigation Bank.

SOUTHEAST REGION SERVICE AREA

9 Approved Banks 4 Pending



OUR SERVICES

Mitigation and Conservation Banks Full-delivery mitigation (Turnkey) Habitat Planning Services Partnerships with landowners, local governments, etc.

Mitigation Evaluations

Cosumnes Floodplain Mitigation Bank.

MITIGATION BANKING

ASCE MONTGOMERY BRANCH MEETING SEPTEMBER 13, 2016

Pensacola Mitigation Bank.

OUTLINE

Mitigation Banking Overview The Federal Mitigation Rule Wetland Mitigation Strategies Canoe Creek Mitigation Bank

Big Sandy Mitigation Bank

PRIOR TO BANKING

Developer must:

- Redesign project to avoid impacts to wetlands.
- Buy suitable restoration land at or near the development site to compensate for impacts.
- Pay a series of consultants and contractors to restore wetlands at the site.
- Pay to monitor the site for 5 10 years.
- Assume all legal and financial liability for the restored wetlands in perpetuity.



THE "NEW" APPROACH MITIGATION BANKING

The Concept:

- Mitigation banks are large properties that establish and protect wetlands (habitat) in exchange for <u>credits</u> that can be sold or used to mitigate for <u>impacts</u> in surrounding areas.
- Consolidates many small wetland mitigation projects into a larger, more ecologically valuable site



MITIGATION RULE

- Establishes hierarchy
 - Mitigation Banks
 - In Lieu Fee
 - Permittee Responsible
- Maintains Bush's 1989 No Net Loss Goal
- One set of regulations for all forms of mitigation
- Effective June 9, 2008
- Effect on Industry

Gister	Thursday, April 10, 2008
	Part II
Colera	Department of Defense
	Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers 33 CFR Parts 325 and 332
	Environmental Protection Agency
	40 CER D-+ 220

40 CFR Part 230 Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources; Final Rule

MITIGATION SEQUENCE

- ✓ Avoidance
- ✓ Minimization
- ✓ Compensatory Mitigation



"...it replaces the on-site preference with a hierarchy that considers compensation options in the following order <u>1</u>) use of credits from a mitigation bank, <u>2</u>) use of credits from an in-lieu fee program, <u>3</u>) permittee-responsible compensatory mitigation developed using a watershed approach, <u>4</u>) on-site/in-kind permittee-responsible mitigation, and <u>5</u>) off-site/out-of-kind permittee-responsible mitigation." Corps/EPA Compensatory Mitigation Rule: Questions and Answers

MITIGATION RULE GOALS

- ✓ Implement environmentally effective standards for CM that are based on best available science; incorporate NRC recommendations.
- ✓ Streamline the compensatory mitigation process. Increase efficiency, predictability.
- ✓ Enhance public participation in CM decision-making.
- Create a level playing field among the three types of mitigation by raising the bar so that high-quality mitigation providers are not disadvantaged by others being held to lower standards ("Equivalent Standards").

MITIGATION PLAN COMPONENTS (33 CFR 332.4(C))

✓ Objectives

- ✓ Site protection instrument
- ✓ Baseline information
- ✓ Work plan
- ✓ Maintenance plan
- ✓ Performance standards
- ✓ Monitoring requirements
- ✓ Financial assurances
- ✓ Site selection factors
- ✓ Credit determination
- ✓ Long-term management plan
- ✓ Adaptive management plan



MITIGATION BANKING BUSINESS AND BIOLOGY

BUSINESS

- Lower Costs (Economy of Scale)
- Reduces Permitting Time
- Reduces Uncertainty
- Severance of Liability

BIOLOGY

- Large Preserve Size
- Upfront Implementation
- Extensive Agency Review
- Performance Standards
- Land Stewardship

AGENCY PERSPECTIVE: WHY MITIGATION BANKING?

- Reduces uncertainty over whether mitigation for impacts will be successful "No Net Loss"
 - Mitigation implemented before impact
- Assembles & applies resources and expertise

 Funds long-term management
- Reduces permit processing times
- Enables efficient use of agencies in review & compliance monitoring
- Creates large preserves of restored wildlife habitat

A WIN-WIN SCENARIO

- Landowners
- Developers
- Regulatory Agencies
- Public

1992: 46 banks

Today Over 1500 banks with ?? in process



MITIGATION BANK REQUIREMENTS

- **Permanent** conservation easement held by 3rd party
- Long-term management endowment
 - Regardless of credit sales
- Perpetual exotic species control
- No timber harvesting; hunting allowed
- Long-term monitoring and reporting

CONSULTING ENGINEERS: WHAT TO KNOW?

- Regulatory framework for "Waters of the U.S."
 - Impacts require permit, or else ...
- Wetland delineation procedure
- Restoration/mitigation concepts
 - Potential lands required
 - Impacted streams and/or wetlands, species
 - Activities involved
 - Natural forest management techniques
 - Exotic species control
 - Stream restoration
 - Conservation easement implications
 - Long-term endeavor!

MITIGATION STRATEGIES GENERATING "ECOLOGICAL LIFT"

- Unnatural stand removal
 - Exotic species (tallowtree, privet, melaleuca)
 - Pine plantation
- Low quality pasture
- Prior converted (PC) cropland





CANOE CREEK MITIGATION BANK STEELE, ALABAMA

SITE SELECTION BANK CONSTRUCTION



CANOE CREEK - 1969



FORMER US ARMY BASE



CAMP SIBERT WORLD WAR II: 1942-1945

On 6/18/1942 the U.S. took possession of 36,300 acres in Etowah and adjoining St. Clair County to establish Alabama's first Chemical Warfare Center. The area was dedicated on 12/25/1942 and named for U.S. Army M/G William Luther Sibert, first Chief of Chemical Warfare Service and a native of Etowah County. The camp served as a Unit Training Center and a Replacement Training Center for the CWS and could accommodate up to 30,000 troops. Forty-seven percent of all CWS units of WW II were trained here. The camp was deactivated on 12/31/1945.

ALABAMA HISTORISAL ASSESSATION

ROOT

Ordinance Disposal













Cashard and Suppl MS_Casha Dask day Construction Press Physics Presses















PRIORITY ONE IN-STREAM RESTORATION: 6,450 LF

In-Stream Construction Involved the Following Structures/Material:

- 44 J Hooks
- 38 Rootwads
- 48 Digger Logs
- 1,552 LF of Toewood
- 1 Cross Vane
- 2 Log Rock and Roll
- 227 Tons of Boulders
- 104 Tons of Cobble
- 142 Tons of Gravel













WETLAND TREE PLANTING

Hardwood Forested Wetland- Polygon 1

Nyssa biflora, FACW **Quercus nigra, FAC** Quercus michauxii, FACW Diospyros virginiana, FAC **Ouercus texana, OBL** Platanus occidentalis, FACW Quercus phellos, FAC Quercus laurifolia, FACW Acer rubrum, FAC Quercus falcata var. pagodifolia, FACW Quercus lyrata, OBL Carva aquatica, OBL

Riparian Hardwood Forested Wetland-Polygon 2

Hardwood Forested Slope Wetland- Polygon 3 Platanus occidentalis. FACW

Fraxinus pennslyvanica, FACW

Betula nigra, FACW

Quercus phellos, FAC

Quercus laurifolia, FACW

Populus deltoides,

Quercus michauxii, FACW

Diospyros virginiana, FAC

Salix nigra, OBL

Quercus falcata var. pagodifolia, FACW Nyssa biflora

Taxodium distichum, Bald Cypress OBL

Westervelt Ecological Services INSERVATION & COMMITMENT

1400 JACK WARNER PKWY, NE TUSCALOOSA, AL 35404 205.562.5000

660 N. MARKET BLVD, STE 3 SACRAMENTO, CA 65834 916.646.3644 2128 MOORES MILL RD. STE B AUBURN, AL 36830 334.821.1999

9800 MOUNT PYRAMID CT, STE 400 ENGLEWOOD, CO 80112 303.256.5771